Australia Telescope Compact Array observations of Fermi unassociated sources
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ABSTRACT

We report results of the first phase of observations with the Australia Telescope Compact Array (ATCA) at 5 and 9 GHz of the fields around 411 γ-ray sources with declinations <+10° detected by Fermi but marked as unassociated in the Fermi Large Area Telescope 2-Year Source Catalog (2FGL). We have detected 424 sources with flux densities in the range 2–6 Jy that lie within the 99 per cent localization uncertainty of 283 γ-ray sources. Of these, 146 objects were detected in both 5- and 9-GHz bands. We found 84 sources in our sample with a spectral index flatter than −0.5. The majority of detected sources are weaker than 100 mJy and for this reason were not found in previous surveys. Approximately one third of our sample, 128 objects, have a probability of being associated more than 10 times greater than the probability of being a background source found in the vicinity of a γ-ray object by chance. We present the catalogue of positions of these sources, estimates of their flux densities and spectral indices where available.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Analysis of the first two years of Fermi Large Area Telescope (LAT) observations yielded a catalogue of 1872 γ-ray sources (the Fermi LAT 2-Year Source Catalog, hereafter 2FGL; Nolan et al. 2012). Of these, 70 per cent have associations with blazars, pulsars, supernova remnants or other objects. The procedure for assigning associations is described in full detail in Ackermann (2012). However, the 2FGL catalogue still does not provide associations for 573 γ-ray sources. The positional accuracy of Fermi ranges from 0.1–16.6 arcmin with a median 1σ uncertainty of 2.0 arcmin. These large position errors prevent us from finding associations by direct matching of the 2FGL against optical or infrared catalogues.

Previous analysis of the 1FGL catalogue (Kovalev 2009) has confirmed earlier Energetic Gamma Ray Experiment Telescope (EGRET) results: that γ-ray emission and pc-scale radio emission are strongly related. Extending this study to the 2FGL catalogue, we found that 770 out of 1872 Fermi sources (roughly half) have been detected in very-long-baseline interferometry (VLBI) surveys at 8 GHz (as of 2012 December). All these objects are active galactic nuclei (AGNs). This is the dominant population of point-like Fermi sources outside the Galactic plane. Other types of objects associated with γ-ray sources are supernova remnants, novae, pulsar wind nebulae, X-ray binaries, microquasars and pulsars. Unassociated sources may belong to any of these classes or may, in part, constitute an as-yet-unknown population. Due to the correlation of γ-ray emission with pc-scale radio emission, high-resolution radio observations are useful for classification of unassociated γ-ray sources. Supernova remnants and pulsar wind nebulae are extended objects and, as such, high-resolution radio interferometric observations tend to resolve out their emission, making them undetectable. Pulsars are generally weak at high frequencies (>5 GHz) as they generally have steep spectral indices. Therefore, sources detected with VLBI that are brighter than 1 mJy are almost always AGNs. Detection of a radio-bright AGN within the Fermi position error ellipse presents a strong argument that this is likely the same object. Therefore, systematic high-resolution radio observations of unassociated Fermi objects promise to find all γ-ray sources associated with radio-loud AGNs and shrink the list of objects that remain unassociated with an astrophysical source.

It was shown by Lister et al. (2009) and Kovalev (2009) that γ-ray fluxes and 8-GHz radio flux densities from regions smaller than 5 mas are correlated. Source variability confirms that both
radio and $\gamma$-ray emission comes from pc-scale regions. Therefore, a flux-limited sample of $\gamma$-ray AGNs, such as 2FGL, should be contained within a flux-limited sample of compact radio sources. Compact radio sources from a flux-limited catalogue found within the position error ellipses of sources from a $\gamma$-ray flux-limited catalogue can be considered with high confidence as the same objects, provided that the probability of finding a background radio-loud source within the error ellipse is small. As the search area becomes smaller, weaker radio-loud AGNs can be associated with a $\gamma$-ray source.

In order to evaluate the probability of finding a background source in a given search area, we investigated the cumulative all-sky catalogue of compact radio sources detected with VLBI in the absolute astrometry mode (Petrov & Kovalev, in preparation). This catalogue,1 as of 2012 December, had 7215 objects detected in numerous VLBI surveys over the last several decades: the Very Long Baseline Array (VLBA) Calibrator Survey (Beasley et al. 2002; Fomalont et al. 2003; Petrov et al. 2005, 2006; Kovalev et al. 2007; Petrov et al. 2008); Long Baseline Array (LBA) calibrator survey (Petrov et al. 2011b); VLBA Galactic Plane Survey (Petrov et al. 2011a); European VLBI Network (EVN) Galactic plane survey (Petrov 2012); VLBA imaging and polarimetry survey (Taylor et al. 2007; Petrov & Taylor 2011); regular VLBA geodetic observations (Petrov et al. 2009; Pushkarev & Kovalev 2012); ongoing VLBI observations of 2MASS galaxies (Condon et al. 2011). Fig. 1 shows the dependence of the logarithm of the number of sources in the whole sky $N$ with a flux density greater than $S$ as a function of the logarithm of $S$, determined as the median correlated flux density at baseline projection lengths in range 100–900 km at 8 GHz. We see that the dependence can be approximated as $N(S) \approx 327 S^{-1.237}$ in the range [0.18, 5] Jy. We interpret the deviation of $\log N(\log S)$ from a straight line below 180 mJy as evidence of incompleteness. Assuming that the parent population remains the same for sources as weak as 1 mJy, we can extrapolate the number of compact sources in the celestial sphere derived for the range [0.18, 5] Jy to [1, 180] mJy.

Let us consider a search for a radio counterpart within the area where the probability of source localization is $P$. Then, assuming localization errors follow a 2D normal distribution with second moment $\sigma$, the mathematical expectation for the number of background sources that can be found in that area is

$$M(\sigma, S, P) = -\frac{\sigma^2}{2\pi} \ln(1 - P) N(S). \quad (1)$$

The function $M(\sigma, S)$ depends on the position uncertainty, the flux density and the probability of localization. When $M \ll 1$, it can be interpreted as the probability of at least one source being found within the area of position uncertainty. If we fix $M(\sigma, S)$ at a specific value, for instance 0.1, and fix localization probability e.g. at 0.95, we can find the dependence of the maximum flux density $S$ of a background source that can be found within the area of localization with a certain probability (10 per cent in our example) on the standard deviation of localization. This dependence is shown in Fig. 2. For the median 1$\sigma$ position uncertainty of unassociated sources from the 2FGL catalogue, 3.0 arcmin, the probability of finding a background source brighter than 10.9 mJy is 10 per cent. For 80 per cent of 2FGL sources, 1$\sigma$ position uncertainty is less than 4.0 arcmin. The flux density that corresponds to 10 per cent probability of finding a background source with such a position uncertainty is 17.3 mJy.

In order to check the validity of the reported 2FGL position uncertainties, we computed arcs between 770 $\gamma$-ray objects that have counterparts with radio sources observed with VLBI and normalized them to their standard deviations derived from parameters of their 2FGL error ellipses:

$$n = \sqrt{\Delta^2 \sigma^2 \cos^2 \delta + \Delta^2 \sigma^2 \sin^2 \beta + \Delta^2 \sigma^2 \cos^2 \beta} \frac{\sigma_{maj} \sigma_{min}}{\sigma_{maj} \sigma_{min}} \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_{maj} \sin^2 \beta + \sigma_{min}^2 \cos^2 \beta}{\sigma_{maj} \sigma_{min}}}, \quad (2)$$

where angle $\beta$ is

$$\beta = \arctan \frac{\Delta \delta}{\Delta \alpha \cos \delta} - (\pi/2 - \theta) \quad (3)$$

and $\sigma_{maj}$, $\sigma_{min}$ and $\theta$ are the semimajor and semiminor axes and position angle of the 2D normal distribution that describes errors of source localization. We derived $\sigma_{maj}$ and $\sigma_{min}$ from the so-called ‘95 per cent confidence source semimajor and semiminor axes’ reported in table 3 of the 2FGL catalogue by scaling them by 1/e. The distribution of normalized arcs between the VLBI and 2FGL positions follows a Rayleigh distribution with $\sigma = 1$ very closely (Fig. 3). The histogram is best fitted into a Rayleigh distribution with $\sigma = 1.026$. Since VLBI positions are five orders of magnitude more accurate than the positions reported in 2FGL, they are considered as true. We conclude that the 2FGL

---

1 Available at http://astrogeo.org/rfc.
position errors are realistic and can be used for a probabilistic inference.

These estimates show that radio observations are an efficient way to identify AGNs associated with γ-ray sources. According to our experience, it is not difficult to detect sources with flux densities of several mJy using radio interferometers. As we have shown in Fig. 1, the cumulative catalogue of compact radio sources detected with VLBI is complete only to the level of 180 mJy. Sources with correlated flux densities between 2 and 180 mJy may not have been marked as associations with 2FGL objects because they were missing from VLBI surveys. However, not all associations of γ-ray sources in 2FGL are made on the basis of VLBI observations. In the absence of VLBI observations, the source spectrum can be used as a proxy. It was found a long time ago (Kellermann & Pauliny-Toth 1969) that sources with a spectral index flatter than −0.5 tend to be compact, as they are dominated by emission from the core. The problem is that existing catalogues are not complete enough to determine the spectrum in the range of flux densities from 1–100 mJy, especially in the Southern Hemisphere.

These considerations prompted us to propose a programme of observations of all unassociated 2FGL sources. The eventual goal of the programme is to find all AGNs with correlated flux densities brighter than 2 mJy at 8 GHz from regions smaller than 50 mas within the areas of 99 per cent probability of Fermi localization uncertainties. Association with a VLBI source automatically improves positions of γ-ray objects from arcmin to milliarcsec (mas), allowing for an unambiguous association with sources at other wavelengths, for instance optical. Combined with the results of ongoing programmes to find pulsars associated with Fermi sources (see for instance Barr et al. 2013), we expect the list of unassociated sources to shrink. At the moment we do not know whether the list will shrink to zero or whether a population of radio-quiet γ-ray sources will be found.

In this paper we report early results of the first step in the programme of observations of Fermi unassociated sources: observations with the Australia Telescope Compact Array (ATCA) of 411 sources with δ < +10°. A brief overview of the programme is given in Section 2. The data analysis procedure is described in Section 3. Results, in the form of the catalogue of 375 potential associations for 2FGL sources, are given in Section 4, followed by concluding remarks.

Figure 3. Distribution of position offsets normalized by their standard deviations between 770 Fermi sources and their counterparts detected with VLBI. The solid line (blue in the online article) shows a Rayleigh distribution with σ = 1.

Figure 4. The distribution of 573 2FGL unassociated sources. The area of this survey is shown in grey.

2 OBSERVATIONS

2.1 Observing programme

Areas with 99 per cent probability of 2FGL localization, which corresponds to 3.03σ, are typically 4–6 arcmin in size. They are still too large for a blind search with VLBI using traditional approaches. Therefore, we organized observations in several stages. In the first stage we observed the sources with connected interferometers, the Very Large Array (VLA) in the Northern Hemisphere and ATCA in the Southern Hemisphere. Observations are made in the remote wings of the wide-band receiving system, which covers 4.5–10.0 GHz. The sky distribution of target sources is presented in Fig. 4.

We split the source list into two parts. A list of 411 sources with declinations lower than +10° was observed with the ATCA. A list of 215 sources with declinations higher than 0° was observed with the VLA. This list includes 35 overlapping sources in the declination band [0, +10°] and 18 sources tentatively associated with supernova remnants and pulsar wind nebulae. Results of the VLA observations will be reported in a separate paper (Schinzel et al., in preparation).

Observations at two frequencies allow us to determine spectral indices. The spectral index is defined as $S \sim f^{-\alpha}$, where $S$ is the flux density and $f$ is the frequency. Sources with a spectral index $\alpha$ greater than −0.5 are classified as flat-spectrum sources and are therefore considered as likely associations. Bright detected sources will be followed up with the VLBA and LBA. Detection of emission at pc scales will firmly associate them with the compact core regions of AGNs.

2.2 ATCA observations

We observed a list of 411 target sources with ATCA on 2012 September 19–20 for 29 h. We used the automatic scheduling software SUR SKED originally developed for VLBI survey experiments (Petrov et al. 2011b). In order to maximize the observing efficiency, we reduced the correlator cycle time from the usual 10 s to 6 s and observed in the mosaic mode to minimize correlator overheads when changing sources. We tried to observe each target source in three scans of 24 s each, separated by at least 3 h in a sequence that minimizes slewing time. In fact, we observed 80 sources in 4 scans, 239 sources in 3 scans and 92 sources in 2 scans. At the beginning of the experiment we observed the primary amplitude calibrator 1934−638 for 20 min. The automatic scheduling process checked that for every target source a phase calibrator was inserted into the schedule that satisfied two criteria: that the arc between the
target and the calibrator should be less than 20° and a calibrator should be observed within 20 min of the target. We used a pool of 1464 compact sources with δ < +20° and correlated flux densities >200 mJy. The scheduling process picked up 207 sources from this pool. Many adjacent target scans reused the same phase calibrator. Each calibrator scan was observed for 18 s.

The array was in the H214 hybrid configuration with baselines ranging from 31–214 m between the inner five antennas and ~4.4 km between CA06 and the inner antennas. We observed simultaneously in two bands, both 2 GHz wide, centred on 5.5 and 9.0 GHz. During data reduction the band edges were excluded and the ranges [4.58, 6.42] GHz (hereafter 5-GHz band) and [8.09, 9.92] GHz (hereafter 9 GHz band) were used. The data were recorded in both polarizations with the Compact Array Broadband Backend (Wilson et al. 2011).

3 DATA ANALYSIS

The ATCA correlator provided us with an output2 with frequency resolution 1 MHz and time resolution 6 s. We used the software package MIRIAD (Sault, Teuben & Wright 1995) for development of the analysis pipeline. The data processing consisted of the following steps.

(i) We split the data into sub-bands and into scans. We discarded data from the remote CA06 station for imaging analysis.

(ii) We analysed the observations of all sources and flagged the data affected by radio interference using the task pgflag.

(iii) We used the MIRIAD task mfcal for the bandpass solution, using the data of 1934–638 with a solution interval of 2 min. This bandpass was applied to all the data.

(iv) We determined the antenna gains and polarization leakage of phase calibrators using the MIRIAD task gpflag and we also corrected the flux scale with the task gpcal. The complex gain factors were then applied to the target sources.

(v) All calibrated visibilities of a target source were merged into one file. The visibilities were inverted using the MIRIAD task uvfit using the multifrequency synthesis algorithm. The typical image size was 1024 × 1024 with a pixel size of 2.4 arcsec, although for some target sources we changed the pixel size later during the data analysis. We tapered the data according to Briggs’s visibility weighting robustness parameter factor 0.5 during inversion.

(vi) We cleaned the data using the MIRIAD task mclean. The default CLEAN gain was set to 0.01 and the default maximum number of iterations was set to 192. The default clean region was 93 per cent of the image.

(vii) We searched for point sources in the cleaned image using the task imad, requiring the flux densities to be greater than 5.5 times the image noise root-mean-square (rms).

(viii) We performed a non-linear least-squares fit for positions and parameters of the Gaussian models of detected sources to calibrated visibilities using the MIRIAD task uvsfit. This task also computed the spectral index. We used positions and flux densities of all sources found by imad, excluding those flagged as spurious, as the initial values for the fit to the visibility data. A detailed description of the procedure is given below. We ran this procedure for each sub-band separately.

(ix) For sources detected in both sub-bands, we computed spectral indices between 5 and 9 GHz.

The task uvsfit estimates source parameters through the minimization of the least-squared differences between the measured visibilities and those computed from a model source or sources.

Given a set of observed visibilities, each measured at a point (u, v) that is the projected baseline vector expressed in wavelengths, and at a frequency f, we write \( V = V(u, v, f) \). There are \( n = n_b \times n_t \) visibility measurements, where \( n_b \) and \( n_t \) are the number of baselines and the number of frequency channels respectively.

Given a model source, we can compute model visibilities \( M_i = M(u, v, f) \) at each point in \((u, v, f)\) space for which we have visibility measurements. The model visibilities can be calculated as the sum of visibilities for several model sources. The fitting process is the adjustment of model source parameters to minimize the quantity \( \sum |V_i - M_i|^2 \). Both tasks use the Levenberg–Marquardt algorithm for function minimization. The diagonal terms of the covariance matrix are returned as the variances in fitted parameter values.

For a point source with flux density \( S \) and position \((l, m)\) relative to the phase centre, uvsfit computes each model visibility as \( M(u, v) = S(\cos \theta + i \sin \theta) \), where \( \theta = 2\pi(u l + v m) \).

In uvsfit, the flux density is expressed as a function of frequency and new parameters are introduced to describe the spectral shape. The model source is now a function of \( S_0 \), the flux density at a reference frequency \( f_0 \), position relative to phase centre \((l, m)\) and spectral shape parameters \((\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2)\). The model visibilities are then

\[
M(u, v, f) = S(f)(\cos \theta + i \sin \theta) \exp \left( -\frac{\pi^2}{4 \log 2} \beta \right)
\]

\[
\theta = 2\pi(u l + v m),
\]

\[
S(f) = S_0 \left( \frac{f}{f_0} \right)^\alpha,
\]

\[
\alpha = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1(f - f_0) + \alpha_2(f - f_0)^2.
\]

Model visibilities for the two-dimensional Gaussian source with flux density \( S(f) \), position \((l, m)\), and major and minor axes and position angle \((a, b, \phi)\) and spectral shape described by \((\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2)\) are calculated by uvsfit as

\[
M(u, v, f) = S(f)(\cos \theta + i \sin \theta) \exp \left( -\frac{\pi^2}{4 \log 2} \beta \right)
\]

\[
\theta = 2\pi(u l + v m),
\]

\[
S(f) = S_0 \left( \frac{f}{f_0} \right)^\alpha,
\]

\[
\alpha = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1(f - f_0) + \alpha_2(f - f_0)^2.
\]

\[
\beta = [b(u \cos \phi - v \sin \phi)]^2 + [a(u \sin \phi + v \cos \phi)]^2.
\]

In our analysis we estimated only parameter \( \alpha_0 \)

At this point we visually checked every cleaned image. We have developed a web application that allows us to examine interactively each image and flag of those point sources found within imad that appear to be spurious or lie far away from the pointing direction. For 7 per cent of the images, we manually adjusted parameters for inversion and cleaning, such as image size, pixel size, offset of image centre and number of CLEAN iterations. Fig. 5 provides an example of one of the ATCA images.3 Sources 4–8 have a signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) in the range 5.5–6.7, which is not sufficient for a reliable detection in the absence of other information. There are two sources within the 99 per cent localization error ellipse of J1353.5–6640.

\[2 \text{ Available at http://astrogeo.org/v1/aofusrpfits.}\]

\[3 \text{ All 822 images derived from analysis of these observations are available online at http://astrogeo.org/aofus.}\]
In summary, we detected 571 objects in 338 fields. Of these, 146 objects have position offsets beyond the areas of 99 per cent probability of finding J1353.5−6640 there.

The rms of the images is typically in the range 0.15−0.25 mJy. The full width at half-maximum (FWHM) size of the restored beam is typically 35 arcsec at 5 GHz and 20 arcsec at 9 GHz. The detection limit is 1.8 mJy for sources in the centre of the field of view and 9 mJy at the edge of the field of view, at 6.5 arcmin. There are 23 fields that have a number of resolved objects, for instance the field around 2FGL source J1619.7−5040, which corresponds to the H α region G332.8−0.6 (Kuchar & Clark 1997). Although the data analysis pipeline selected sources that it considers as 'points', these are actually hot spots in an extended Galactic object rather than separate compact extragalactic sources. Imaging of an extended object using 2–4 scans with a five-element array gives inconclusive results. We flagged sources from such fields as possibly extended. Extra care must be taken when dealing with these objects.

We cross-matched all remaining sources against the NRAO VLA Sky Survey (NVSS: Condon et al. 1998), Sydney University Molonglo Sky Survey (SUMSS, version 2.1 of 2012 February 16: Bock, Large & Sadler 1999; Mauch et al. 2003), Molonglo Galactic Plane Survey 2nd Epoch (MGPS-2: Murphy et al. 2007) and Wide-field Infrared Survey Explorer (WISE: Wright et al. 2010) catalogues. The NVSS catalogue is derived from VLA observations at 1.4 GHz and the SUMSS and MGPS-2 catalogues are derived from observations at 0.843 GHz with the Molonglo Observatory Synthesis Telescope. These catalogues have similar resolutions of ~40 arcsec and are complimentary to each other, as they cover different areas of the sky. We used a search radius of 20 arcsec to find counterparts in the NVSS, SUMSS and MGPS-2 catalogues and 2σ position uncertainties of the ATCA coordinates for matching to a WISE object.

We have 160 matches in the WISE catalogue, 193 matches in NVSS, 59 matches in SUMSS and 54 matches in MGPS-2. Since the average positional error of WISE is rather small, 0.25 arcsec, the mathematical expectation of the number of background WISE sources that fall within the 2σ error ellipse of ATCA position (in the case in which the ATCA detections and WISE objects are physically unrelated) is only 10. We found matches for 16 times more sources, roughly a third of our list. Thus, we conclude that the majority of the ATCA–WISE matches are real.

The NVSS catalogue contains objects with declinations >−40°. We have 243 ATCA detections with δ >−40° and 191 of these, i.e. 77 per cent, have a counterpart in NVSS. Among the 181 ATCA-detected objects with declinations <−40°, 63 per cent have counterparts in SUMSS and MGPS-2. We have fewer counterparts with declinations below −40° because these catalogues are not as deep as NVSS: their limiting peak brightness is 6 mJy beam⁻¹ at δ ≤−50° and 10 mJy beam⁻¹ at δ >−50°, while NVSS has a limiting peak brightness 2.5 mJy.

We used these matches to recalculate position errors. We selected 92 matches that have flux densities brighter than 15 mJy at 1.4 GHz. NVSS positions of such sources are accurate to within less than 1 arcsec. We formed the data set of position differences normalized to their standard deviations, which is the sum in quadrature of NVSS position uncertainties and position uncertainties from our ATCA observations. We used a reweighting model of the form \( \sqrt{(r \sigma)^2 + f^2} \) to bring the averaged normalized residuals close to unity. We found the following scalefactors and error floors: 1.45 and 1.00 for objects with declinations >−40° and <−40°, respectively. We applied these scalefactors to the positions of all ATCA detections and calculated 2σ position errors.

We used these positions to recalculate the detection limits. We assumed that the detection limits are consistent with the observed distribution of peak brightnesses within each field and recalculated the detection limit for each field as the 2σ peak brightness of the observed distribution. We found that the detection limits are consistent with the observed distribution within ±1σ for all fields.
0.5 arcsec for right ascension and 1.80 and 0.75 arcsec for declination. We inflated our position errors according to this reweighting model.

For all detected sources, we determined the spectral index within the sub-band. In addition, for sources detected at both sub-bands independently, we determined spectral indices between 5.5 and 9 GHz from their flux densities. In general, the spectral indices between both sub-bands and from the low-sub-band (5.5 GHz) spectra do not necessarily coincide because systematic errors affect the estimates in different ways and some sources may have spectra that deviate from a power law. In order to compare the consistency of spectral-index estimates, we also computed spectral indices using the low-sub-band spectra for those sources that were detected in both bands. The scatter plot of this comparison is shown in Fig. 6. We see that the spectral index across the [4.58, 6.42] GHz sub-band is slightly higher than the spectral index across [4.58, 9.91] GHz. This can be explained by a curvature in the spectrum. Results of this comparison demonstrate a reasonable consistency of spectral-index estimates using both approaches.

In order to evaluate the significance of these γ-ray associations, we computed the likelihood ratio \( \Lambda \). This is defined as the ratio of the probability that a radio counterpart will be found inside a disc of radius \( d \) to the probability of finding a background radio source with flux \( S \) or greater outside the same disc:

\[
\Lambda = \frac{e^{-d^2/4}}{N(1)S^p d^2/4},
\]

where \( n \) is the normalized arc \( d \) between the radio and the 2FGL position and \( N(1) \) and \( p \) are parameters of the log \( N(\log S) \) dependence that describe the number of sources \( N \) with radio flux densities greater than \( S \).

Among large radio surveys, the 4.85-GHz GB6 (Gregory et al. 1996) catalogue, with a FWHM of the beam of 3 arcmin, is the closest match to our ATCA observations at 5 GHz. We built a cumulative histogram of log \( N(\log S) \) over 75 162 GB6 sources at 6.06 sr and fitted a straight line to it in the interval of flux densities [30, 810] mJy. We obtained \( N(1\text{Jy}) = 965 \) and \( p = -1.3475 \) from this analysis. We should note that these parameters are different from those we derived from the analysis of VLBI results, because they are related to a different population of radio sources. The likelihood ratio describes our knowledge of how likely it is that a radio source with a given flux density can be found at a given distance by chance. Sources with large \( \Lambda \) are less likely located close to the γ-ray counterpart by chance.
The list of 424 sources falls into three categories, given below.

(i) **Category I**: 146 objects detected in both 5- and 9.0-GHz sub-bands within 2.7 arcmin of the pointing direction. We provide in Table 1 the following information: γ-ray source name; IAU name of the detected radio source; tentative association status; estimates of J2000 coordinates followed by 1σ uncertainties (σα and σδ) in arcsec (uncertainties in RA are not scaled by cos δ); flux densities at 5 and 9 GHz in mJy (F5 and F9) corrected for beam attenuation, followed by their standard deviations (σF5 and σF9); spectral indices within the 5- and 9-GHz sub-bands S5, S9, and their standard deviations, followed by the spectral index from 5–9 GHz (Sp and σSp) computed from flux densities F5 and F9. Spectral-index estimates with uncertainties greater than 0.4 are omitted. We provide the distance of a source from the pointing direction D, followed by Nσ, the ratio of this distance to its standard deviation derived from the reported 2FGL position localization errors. If the source was associated with an object from either NVSS, SUMSS or MGPS-2 catalogues, its flux density at 1.4 GHz (NVSS) or 0.843 GHz (SUMSS and MGPS-2) is shown in column F1, followed by the 1-GHz catalogue code (N for NVSS, S for SUMSS, M for MGPS-2) and the source identifier in that catalogue. If the source was associated with a WISE object, its WISE source ID is shown in the last column.

Column 3 shows the following flags: 'a' if the source has likelihood ratio greater than 10 and is therefore considered a likely association, 'e' if the source is extended and 'f' if the source has a spectral index flatter than −0.5.

(ii) **Category II**: 229 objects detected only at 5 GHz within 6.5 arcmin of the pointing direction. We provide in Table 2 estimates of the flux density at 5 GHz corrected for beam attenuation. The content of Table 2 is similar to that of Table 1, except that columns F9, σF9, Sp and σSp are excluded. The spectral index is computed only over the sub-band [4.58, 6.41] GHz. Spectral-index estimates with uncertainties greater than 0.4 are omitted.

(iii) **Category III**: 49 objects either detected beyond 6.5 arcmin of the pointing direction or detected only at 9 GHz. Since calibration for beam attenuation becomes uncertain at large distances, we can only provide a lower limit estimate of their flux density: 20 mJy. Table 3 lists these sources. Its content is similar to that of Table 2, except that columns F5 and σF5, the spectral index and its uncertainty, are excluded.

A fill value of −9.9 in all tables indicates a lack of information.

As can be seen from Fig. 7, many of the unassociated Fermi sources are at low Galactic latitude. For the 30 per cent of sources in Tables 1–3 that lie within 1° of the Galactic plane, contamination of our ATCA images by Galactic H II regions, supernova...
remnants and planetary nebulae is common so carefull work will be needed in the final identification process. As expected, flat-spectrum sources do not exhibit concentration in the Galactic plane.

5 CONCLUDING REMARKS

We found 424 counterparts within 99 per cent probability of localization of 267 2FGL sources (Tables 1–3). For 141 2FGL sources, more than one counterpart was found. Among 424 counterparts, 84 have a spectrum flatter than $-0.5$, 62 have a spectrum steeper than $-0.5$ and for 278 objects no spectral index has been determined. The flat-spectrum sources are considered as tentatively associated with Fermi objects. For 128 sources, the probability of association more than 10 times exceeds the probability of finding a background source with that flux density by chance. These flags help to identify a subset of probable associations. However, taken alone, they do not establish a firm association. For instance, object J1045$-$5941 has a spectral index of 1.21 but it is a Galactic object ($\gamma$ Carinae) and not a blazar. Some compact H II regions and planetary nebulae may have flat spectra. Additional information, e.g. from observations at other wavelengths, will help to identify sources associated with $\gamma$-ray objects.

Similarly, a steep spectrum does not rule out an extragalactic nature for an object. Kovalev (2009) presented several examples of steep-spectrum sources associated with Fermi objects. Detecting emission on pc scales will allow us to determine the nature of a radio source.

There are 46 objects from the fields that have a number of resolved objects. Many of these fields are associated with H II regions. Objects from these fields may in fact be hotspots of extended objects, not separate sources. Only 4 of them, i.e. 9 per cent, are associated with WISE objects, while 35 per cent of the remaining sources have counterparts from the WISE catalogue.

We did not find radio counterparts for 128 of our targets, roughly a third of our list. Since for many sources the 3.035$\sigma$ 2FGL position error ellipse exceeded the field of view of 6.5 arcmin at 5 GHz, we cannot claim there is no source brighter than 10 mJy in the field.

In the second phase of this project, we plan to re-observe these fields in the mosaic mode to cover a larger area. This will allow us to detect all the sources within 99 per cent probability of 2FGL localization that are brighter than 2 mJy. We also plan to re-observe with ATCA those sources that were detected at 5 GHz but not detected at 9 GHz and had position offsets exceeding the FWHM of the 9-GHz beam. To obtain more accurate positions and flux densities, we will also re-observe sources listed in Table 3, pointing to their positions found from this survey. New observations are scheduled for 2013 September.

In the third phase of this project, we will observe detected sources with the LBA to determine correlated flux densities from regions smaller than 50 mJy. This will allow us to associate detected radio sources with blazars.
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Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article:
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